

Properties of Generalized Freud Polynomials

Peter A. Clarkson¹ and Kerstin Jordaan²

¹ School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science,
University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF, UK
P.A.Clarkson@kent.ac.uk

² Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002, South Africa
kerstin.jordaan@up.ac.za

June 21, 2016

Abstract

We consider the semi-classical generalized Freud weight function

$$w_\lambda(x; t) = |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\lambda > -1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ parameters. We analyze the asymptotic behavior of the sequences of monic polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to $w_\lambda(x; t)$, as well as the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence coefficient, when the degree, or alternatively, the parameter t , tend to infinity. We also investigate existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of the nonlinear difference equation satisfied by the recurrence coefficients and prove properties of the zeros of the generalized Freud polynomials.

1 Introduction

The study of polynomials orthogonal on unbounded intervals with respect to general exponential-type weights $\exp\{-Q(x)\}$, with $Q(x)$ a polynomial or of the form $Q(x) = |x|^\alpha$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, began with Géza Freud in the 1960's (for details see [16, 17, 46, 47] as well as the monographs by Levin and Lubinsky [29] and Mhaskar [41]). Earlier Freud [17, 18] investigated the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence coefficients for special classes of weights by a technique giving rise to an infinite system of nonlinear equations called Freud equations for these coefficients, cf. [37, 38]. If the monic orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying the three-term recurrence relation

$$p_{n+1}(x) = xp_n(x) - \beta_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad (1.1)$$

with $p_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $p_0(x) = 1$, are related to the weight $w(x) = \exp(-x^4)$ on the whole real line, then the Freud equations are reduced to (cf. [4, 18, 28, 36, 44, 45, 47])

$$4\beta_n (\beta_{n-1} + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) = n, \quad (1.2a)$$

with initial conditions

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \exp(-x^4) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^4) dx} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}. \quad (1.2b)$$

We remark that equation (1.2a) was first derived by Shohat [52, equation (39), p. 407]. Nevai [45] proved that there is a unique positive solution to the problem (1.2).

Freud [17], via the Freud equations, conjectured that the asymptotic behavior of recurrence coefficients β_n in the recurrence relation (1.1) satisfied by the polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ orthogonal with respect to the weight

$$w(x) = |x|^\lambda \exp(-|x|^m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.3)$$

with $\lambda > -1$, could be described by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_n}{n^{2/m}} = \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}m)\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}m)}{\Gamma(m+1)} \right]^{2/m}. \quad (1.4)$$

We note that Freud [17] proved the result for orthonormal polynomials whilst (1.4) is for monic orthogonal polynomials. Freud showed that if the limit exists for $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, then it is equal to the expression in (1.4) but could only prove the existence of the limit (1.4) for $m = 2, 4, 6$. Significant progress in the study of orthogonal polynomials associated with Freud weights was made when Magnus [36] proved the validity of Freud's conjecture for the recurrence coefficients when m is an even positive integer and weight

$$w(x) = \exp\{-Q(x)\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $Q(x)$ is an even degree polynomial with positive leading coefficient. A more general proof of Freud's conjecture of the recursion coefficients for exponential weights is due to Lubinsky, Mhaskar, and Saff [35], see also [11, 16, 17, 47].

Bauldry, Máté, and Nevai [4] showed that the convergent solutions of a system of smooth recurrence equations, whose Jacobian matrix satisfies a certain non-unimodularity condition, can be approximated by asymptotic expansions and they provide an application to approximate the recurrence coefficients associated with polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight (1.5), where $Q(x)$ is an even degree polynomial with positive leading coefficient. Further, Bauldry and Zaslavsky obtained asymptotic expansions for the recurrence coefficients of a larger class of orthogonal polynomials with exponential-type weights, cf. [40, Theorem 1, p. 496] and [4, Theorem 5.1, p. 223].

In a more general setting, a function of the form (1.5) is called a *Freud-type weight* if $Q(x)$ is an even, non-negative and continuous real valued function defined on the real line that satisfies certain conditions involving its derivatives of first and second order. Orthogonal polynomials with Freud-type weights as well as generalisations of the weight (1.5) in the form

$$w_\gamma(x) = |x|^\gamma \exp\{-Q(x)\}, \quad (1.6)$$

for $\gamma > -1$, were studied by Levin and Lubinsky [29]. Lubinsky [34], see also [32, 33], explores various types of asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal on finite and unbounded intervals, which includes a special treatment of polynomials in the Freud class. Levin and Lubinsky [29, 30] obtained many interesting properties of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight function (1.6) on the interval $[0, k)$, where $k \leq \infty$, including infinite-finite range inequalities, estimates for the Christoffel function, estimates for the largest zero, estimates for the spacing between zeros, estimates for the weighted orthogonal polynomials and estimates for the derivatives of the orthogonal polynomials.

Kasuga and Sakai [26] also considered generalized Freud-type weights of the form (1.6). Their results are similar to those for the Freud weight (1.5) obtained by Levin and Lubinsky [29]. They also show that the zeros of the generalized Freud polynomials can be used to construct higher order Hermite-Fejer interpolation polynomials, which have their own applications in approximation theory [27]. Damelin [11] used Freud equations to obtain the main term in the asymptotic expansion of the recurrence coefficients associated with orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight (1.6). The asymptotics of zeros of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight (1.6) were also derived by Kriecherbauer and McLaughlin [28]. Wong and Zhang [56] discuss the asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight (1.6) when $Q(x)$ is an even polynomial of degree $2m$.

Wong and Zhao [55] found several asymptotic results for semi-classical orthogonal polynomials via a Riemann-Hilbert approach and applied these to prove the universality of the local distribution of eigenvalues in the matrix model with the double-well quartic interaction in the presence of two cuts, see also the references in [5].

Magnus [37] discusses the nonlinear discrete equation satisfied by the recurrence coefficients in the three-term recurrence relations for polynomials orthogonal with respect to exponential weights (1.5) and he found the relation of such equations to discrete equations for potentials such as $Q(x) = x^4$ and $Q(x) = x^6$. Magnus [38] showed that the coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation for the Freud weight [17]

$$w(x; t) = \exp(-x^4 + tx^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.7)$$

with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a parameter, can be expressed in terms of simultaneous solutions, q_n , of the discrete equation

$$q_n(q_{n-1} + q_n + q_{n+1}) + 2tq_n = n, \quad (1.8)$$

which is discrete P_I (dP_I) – see equation (1.13) below for a more general version – as earlier shown by Bonan and Nevai [6, p. 135], and the fourth Painlevé equation (P_{IV})

$$\frac{d^2 q}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{dq}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}q^3 + 4zq^2 + 2(z^2 - A)q + \frac{B}{q}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $A = -\frac{1}{2}n$ and $B = -\frac{1}{2}n^2$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This connection between the recurrence coefficients for the Freud weight (1.7) and simultaneous solutions of (1.8) and (1.9) is due to Kitaev, see also [14, 15]. Subsequently,

this relation was studied by Bassom, Clarkson, and Hicks [3], who wrote tables of simultaneous solutions of P_{IV} (1.9) and dP_I (1.8) in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, and later by Grammaticos and Ramani [19]. This relationship between solutions of P_{IV} (1.9) and dP_I (1.8) is reflected in the striking similarity of the results for P_{IV} (1.9) in [3, 43, 50] and those for dP_I (1.8) in [19]. Bonan and Nevai [6] prove that there is a unique positive solution of the discrete equation (1.8) with initial conditions

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx}.$$

In [10], we considered the *generalized Freud weight*

$$w_\lambda(x; t) = |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.10)$$

with $\lambda > -1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ parameters and gave explicit expressions for the moments of this weight (1.10). The first moment is

$$\mu_0(t; \lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{2^{(\lambda+1)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{8}t^2\right) D_{-\lambda-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t\right), \quad (1.11)$$

where $D_\nu(\xi)$ is the parabolic cylinder function with integral representation, cf. [49, §12.5(i)]

$$D_\nu(\xi) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{4}\xi^2)}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \int_0^\infty s^{-\nu-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}s^2 - \xi s) ds, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu) < 0,$$

and the higher moments are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2n}(t; \lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx \equiv \mu_0(t; \lambda + n), \\ \mu_{2n-1}(t; \lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n-1} |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$. The weight function (1.10) is (weakly) differentiable on the non-compact support \mathbb{R} and satisfies the distributional equation, known as Pearson equation (see [54]),

$$\frac{d}{dx} \ln w_\lambda(x; t) = \frac{B(x) - A'(x)}{A(x)} = -4x^3 + 2tx + \frac{2\lambda + 1}{x},$$

with $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ polynomials of minimal degree, so

$$A(x) = x, \quad B(x) = -4x^4 + 2tx^2 + 2\lambda + 3.$$

Since $\deg(A) = 1$ and $\deg(B) = 4$, the polynomial sequence $\{S_n(x; t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, representing the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (1.10), is said to constitute a family of *semi-classical orthogonal polynomials* [9, 20, 22, 21, 39].

Monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to the symmetric weight (1.10) satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$xS_n(x; t) = S_{n+1}(x; t) + \beta_n(t; \lambda)S_{n-1}(x; t) \quad (1.12)$$

where $\beta_n(t; \lambda) > 0$, $S_{-1}(x; t) = 0$, $S_0(x; t) = 1$, $\beta_0(t; \lambda) = 0$ and

$$\beta_1(t; \lambda) = \frac{\mu_2(t; \lambda)}{\mu_0(t; \lambda)} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2) dx} = \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \frac{D_{-\lambda}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)}{D_{-\lambda-1}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)},$$

see §2 for further details. Several sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials related to the weight (1.10) and its extensions have been studied in the literature. For instance, for $t = 0$, $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$, the asymptotic and analytic properties of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials were studied in [45].

The recurrence coefficients in the three-term recurrence relations associated with semi-classical orthogonal polynomials can often be expressed in terms of solutions of the Painlevé equations and associated discrete Painlevé equations. As shown in [10], the recurrence coefficients $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ in the three term recurrence relation (1.12) are related to solutions of P_{IV} (1.9) and satisfy the equation

$$\frac{d^2 \beta_n}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2\beta_n} \left(\frac{d\beta_n}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\beta_n^3 - t\beta_n^2 + \left(\frac{1}{8}t^2 - \frac{1}{2}A_n \right)\beta_n + \frac{B_n}{16\beta_n},$$

where the parameters A_n and B_n are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{2n} \\ B_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\lambda - n - 1 \\ -2n^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{2n+1} \\ B_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - n \\ -2(\lambda + n + 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

as well as the nonlinear discrete equation

$$4\beta_n (\beta_{n-1} + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}t) = n + (2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\Delta_n := \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]$, which is the general discrete P_I (dP_I). We remark that the nonlinear discrete equation (1.13) appears in the paper by Freud [17, equation (23), p. 5]; see also [1, §2] for a historical review of the origin and study of equation (1.13).

The moments of certain semi-classical weights provide the link between the weight and the associated Painlevé equation as shown in [9]. In [10] this was used to obtain the explicit expressions for the recurrence coefficients $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ in the three term recurrence relation (1.12) given by

$$\beta_{2n}(t; \lambda) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{\tau_n(t; \lambda + 1)}{\tau_n(t; \lambda)}, \quad \beta_{2n+1}(t; \lambda) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{\tau_{n+1}(t; \lambda)}{\tau_n(t; \lambda + 1)}, \quad (1.14)$$

for $n \geq 0$, where $\tau_n(t; \lambda)$ is the Hankel determinant given by

$$\tau_n(t; \lambda) = \det \left[\frac{d^{j+k}}{dt^{j+k}} \mu_0(t; \lambda) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1},$$

with $\tau_0(t; \lambda) = 1$ and $\mu_0(t; \lambda)$ given by (1.11).

Following our earlier work in [10], here we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence coefficient of the three-term recurrence relation satisfied by the generalized Freud polynomials and the asymptotic properties of the polynomials themselves. In §3 we consider the case where the parameter $t \rightarrow \infty$ whilst in §4 we investigate the asymptotic behavior as the degree n of the polynomials tends to ∞ . Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of the nonlinear difference equation (1.13) are discussed in §5 where we prove that unique, positive solutions exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Properties of the zeros of generalized Freud polynomials are investigated in §6.

2 Generalized Freud polynomials

The first few recurrence coefficients $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ are given by

$$\beta_1(t; \lambda) = \Phi_\lambda(t), \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\beta_2(t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}t - \Phi_\lambda(t) + \frac{\lambda + 1}{2\Phi_\lambda(t)}, \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\beta_3(t; \lambda) = -\frac{\lambda + 1}{2\Phi_\lambda(t)} - \frac{\Phi_\lambda(t)}{2\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - t\Phi_\lambda(t) - \lambda - 1}, \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_4(t; \lambda) = & \frac{t}{2(\lambda + 2)} + \frac{\Phi_\lambda(t)}{2\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - t\Phi_\lambda(t) - \lambda - 1} \\ & + \frac{(\lambda + 1)[(t^2 + 2\lambda + 4)\Phi_\lambda(t) + (\lambda + 1)t]}{2(\lambda + 2)[2(\lambda + 2)\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - (\lambda + 1)t\Phi_\lambda(t) - (\lambda + 1)^2]}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1d)$$

where

$$\Phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\{ D_{-\lambda-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{8}t^2 \right) \right\} = \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \frac{D_{-\lambda} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t \right)}{D_{-\lambda-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t \right)}. \quad (2.2)$$

It was shown in [10] that as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{t} + \frac{2\lambda(1 - \lambda)}{t^3} + \frac{4\lambda(\lambda - 1)(2\lambda - 3)}{t^5} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-7}).$$

Hence, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_\lambda(t)} = \frac{2}{t} - \frac{4\lambda}{t^3} + \frac{8\lambda(2\lambda - 1)}{t^5} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-7}).$$

Plots of $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ are given in Figure 2.1. We see that there is completely different behaviour for $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, depending on whether n is even or odd, which is reflected in Lemma 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Plots of the recurrence coefficients $\beta_{2n-1}(t; \lambda)$ and $\beta_{2n}(t; \lambda)$, for $n = 1$ (black), $n = 2$ (red), $n = 3$ (blue), $n = 4$ (green) and $n = 5$ (purple), with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.1. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$, the recurrence coefficient $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ has the asymptotic expansion*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2n}(t; \lambda) &= \frac{n}{t} - \frac{2n(2\lambda - n + 1)}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}), \\ \beta_{2n+1}(t; \lambda) &= \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\lambda - n}{t} - \frac{2(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda n + n^2 - \lambda - n)}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}),\end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, as $t \rightarrow -\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{2n}(t; \lambda) &= -\frac{n}{t} + \frac{2n(2\lambda + 3n + 1)}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}), \\ \beta_{2n+1}(t; \lambda) &= -\frac{\lambda + n + 1}{t} + \frac{2(\lambda + n + 1)(\lambda + 3n + 2)}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [10, Lemma 4.6] □

Using the recurrence relation (1.12), with $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ given by (2.1), the first few polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}S_1(x; t) &= x, \\ S_2(x; t) &= x^2 - \Phi_\lambda(t), \\ S_3(x; t) &= x^3 - \frac{t\Phi_\lambda(t) + \lambda + 1}{2\Phi_\lambda(t)} x, \\ S_4(x; t) &= x^4 - \frac{2t\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - (t^2 + 2)\Phi_\lambda(t) - (\lambda + 1)t}{2[2\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - t\Phi_\lambda(t) - \lambda - 1]} x^2 - \frac{2(\lambda + 2)\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - (\lambda + 1)t\Phi_\lambda(t) - (\lambda + 1)^2}{2[2\Phi_\lambda^2(t) - t\Phi_\lambda(t) - \lambda - 1]},\end{aligned}$$

with $\Phi_\lambda(t)$ given by (2.2). Plots of the polynomials $S_n(x; t)$, $n = 3, 4, \dots, 8$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, for $t = 0, 1, \dots, 4$, are given in Figure 2.2. These show that the magnitude of the roots of $S_n(x; t)$ increases as t increases (see Theorem 6.2 for further details and a proof). In fact, as shown in §3 below, the roots of $S_{2n}(x; t)$ and $S_{2n+1}(x; t)/x$ tend to $\pm(\frac{1}{2}t)^{1/2}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Plots of the polynomials $S_n(x; t)$, $n = 3, 4, \dots, 8$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, at times $t = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ are given in Figure 2.3, which illustrate the interlacing of the roots of successive polynomials.

3 Asymptotic properties of generalized Freud Polynomials as $t \rightarrow \infty$

In this section we are concerned with the behaviour of the generalized Freud polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n}(t; \lambda) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n+1}(t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}t,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(t; \lambda) = \frac{1}{4}[1 - (-1)^n]t.$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that the monic polynomials $\tilde{S}_n(x; t)$ are generated by the three-term recurrence relation

$$x\tilde{S}_n(x; t) = \tilde{S}_{n+1}(x; t) + \tilde{\beta}_n(t)\tilde{S}_{n-1}(x; t), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_n(t) = \frac{1}{4}[1 - (-1)^n]t$, with $\tilde{S}_0(x; t) = 1$. Then

$$\tilde{S}_{2n}(x; t) = (x^2 - \frac{1}{2}t)^n, \quad \tilde{S}_{2n+1}(x; t) = x(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}t)^n. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. From the the three-term recurrence relation (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{2n+1}(x; t) &= x\tilde{S}_{2n}(x; t), \\ \tilde{S}_{2n+2}(x; t) &= x\tilde{S}_{2n+1}(x; t) - \frac{1}{2}t\tilde{S}_{2n}(x; t) = (x^2 - \frac{1}{2}t)\tilde{S}_{2n}(x; t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{S}_0(x; t) = 1$ then the result immediately follows. \square

In the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we expect that the generalized Freud polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ will tend to the polynomials $\tilde{S}_n(x; t)$, see Theorem 3.3 below. To show this we first define the polynomials $Q_n(y; t)$ and $\tilde{Q}_n(y)$ as follows

$$Q_n(y; t) = (\frac{1}{2}t)^{-n/2} S_n((\frac{1}{2}t)^{1/2} y; t), \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_n(y) = (\frac{1}{2}t)^{-n/2} \tilde{S}_n((\frac{1}{2}t)^{1/2} y; t), \quad (3.3b)$$

so from (3.2) we have

$$\tilde{Q}_{2n+1}(y) = y(y^2 - 1)^n, \quad \tilde{Q}_{2n}(y) = (y^2 - 1)^n. \quad (3.4)$$

Plots of polynomials $Q_n(y; t)$, $n = 3, 4, \dots, 12$, for $t = 20$ are given in Figure 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $Q_{2n}(y; t)$ and $\tilde{Q}_{2n}(y)$ are given by (3.3) and (3.4), respectively, then as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$Q_{2n}(y; t) = \tilde{Q}_{2n}(y) - \frac{2n\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}(y) + n(n-1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-4}(y)}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}), \quad (3.5a)$$

$$Q_{2n+1}(y; t) = \tilde{Q}_{2n+1}(y) - \frac{2n(\lambda+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-1}(y) + n(n-1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-3}(y)}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}). \quad (3.5b)$$

Proof. As $S_n(x; t)$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation (1.12), then using (3.3) we see that $Q_n(y; t)$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$Q_{n+1}(y; t) = yQ_n(y; t) - \frac{2\beta_n(t; \lambda)}{t}Q_{n-1}(y; t).$$

We shall prove (3.5) by induction. By definition $Q_1(y; t) = y$, $Q_0(y; t) = 1$, $\tilde{Q}_1(y) = y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(y; t) &= yQ_1(y; t) - \frac{2\beta_1(t; \lambda)}{t}Q_0(y; t) = y^2 - \frac{2\Phi_\lambda(t)}{t}, \\ \tilde{Q}_2(y) &= y^2 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \tilde{Q}_2 + 1 - \frac{2\Phi_\lambda}{t} \\ &= \tilde{Q}_2 + 1 - \frac{2}{t} \left\{ \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{t} - \frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}) \right\} \\ &= \tilde{Q}_2 - \frac{2\lambda}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \\ &= \tilde{Q}_2 - \frac{2\lambda\tilde{Q}_0}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

which shows (3.5a) is true for $n = 1$. Also, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3(y; t) &= yQ_2(y; t) - \frac{2\beta_2(t; \lambda)}{t} Q_1(y; t) \\ &= y \left\{ y^2 - \frac{2\Phi_\lambda(t)}{t} \right\} - \frac{2y}{t} \left\{ \frac{t}{2} - \Phi_\lambda(t) + \frac{\lambda+1}{2\Phi_\lambda(t)} \right\} \\ &= y(y^2 - 1) - \frac{(\lambda+1)y}{t\Phi_\lambda(t)} \end{aligned}$$

and so, since $\tilde{Q}_3 = y(y^2 - 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= \tilde{Q}_3 - \frac{(\lambda+1)y}{t} \left\{ \frac{2}{t} - \frac{4\lambda}{t^3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5}) \right\} \\ &= \tilde{Q}_3 - \frac{2(\lambda+1)y}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \\ &= \tilde{Q}_3 - \frac{2(\lambda+1)\tilde{Q}_1}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

which shows (3.5b) is true for $n = 1$.

Next suppose that (3.5a) is true. Since

$$\beta_{2n+1} = \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\lambda - n}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2n+2} &= yQ_{2n+1} - \frac{2\beta_{2n+1}}{t} Q_{2n} \\ &= y \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{2n+1} - \frac{2n(\lambda+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-1} + n(n-1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-3} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4})}{t^2} \right\} \\ &\quad - \left\{ 1 + \frac{2(\lambda-n)}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \right\} \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{2n} - \frac{2n\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n-2} + n(n-1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-4} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4})}{t^2} \right\} \\ &= y\tilde{Q}_{2n+1} - \tilde{Q}_{2n} - \frac{2(\lambda-n)\tilde{Q}_{2n} + 2n(\lambda+1)y\tilde{Q}_{2n-1} - 2n\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}}{t^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{n(n-1)[y\tilde{Q}_{2n-3} - \tilde{Q}_{2n-4}]}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \\ &= \tilde{Q}_{2n+2} - \frac{2(\lambda-n)\tilde{Q}_{2n} + 2n(\lambda+1)[\tilde{Q}_{2n} + \tilde{Q}_{2n-2}] - 2n\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}}{t^2} - \frac{n(n-1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \\ &= \tilde{Q}_{2n+2} - \frac{2(n+1)\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n} + n(n+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.5a) for $n \rightarrow n+1$, where we have used the relation

$$y\tilde{Q}_{2m-1} = \tilde{Q}_{2m} + \tilde{Q}_{2m-2}.$$

Now we suppose that (3.5b) is true. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\beta_{2n+2} = \frac{n+1}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2n+3} &= yQ_{2n+2} - \frac{2\beta_{2n+2}}{t} Q_{2n+1} \\ &= y \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{2n+2} - \frac{2(n+1)\lambda\tilde{Q}_{2n} + n(n+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4})}{t^2} \right\} - \frac{2(n+1)}{t^2} \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{2n+1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}) \right\} \\ &= y\tilde{Q}_{2n+2} - \frac{2(n+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n+1} + 2(n+1)\lambda y\tilde{Q}_{2n} + n(n+1)y\tilde{Q}_{2n-2}}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \\ &= \tilde{Q}_{2n+3} - \frac{2(n+1)(\lambda+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n+1} + n(n+1)\tilde{Q}_{2n-1}}{t^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.5b) for $n \rightarrow n + 1$, where we have used the relation $y\tilde{Q}_{2m} = \tilde{Q}_{2m+1}$. Hence the result follows by induction. \square

Consequently we have the following result

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that the monic polynomials $\tilde{S}_n(x; t)$ are given by (3.2) and the monic polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ are generated by the three-term recurrence relation (1.12). Then in the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n}(x; t) &\rightarrow (x^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}t)^n = \tilde{S}_{2n}(x; t), \\ S_{2n+1}(x; t) &\rightarrow x(x^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}t)^n = \tilde{S}_{2n+1}(x; t). \end{aligned}$$

4 Asymptotic properties of generalized Freud Polynomials as $n \rightarrow \infty$

4.1 Asymptotics for the recurrence coefficient $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

The asymptotic expansion of $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ in (1.12) satisfying (1.13) for the special case when $t = 0$ and $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ was studied by Lew and Quarles [31], see also [45, 48]. The asymptotics for the more general case when $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ were given by Clarke and Shizgal [8] in the context of bimode polynomials. In the next theorem we provide the asymptotic expansion of $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ in (1.12) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the recurrence coefficient β_n associated with monic generalized Freud polynomials satisfying the nonlinear difference equation*

$$\beta_n (\beta_{n+1} + \beta_n + \beta_{n-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}t) = \tfrac{1}{4}[n + (2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n], \quad (4.1)$$

where $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]$, has the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n(t; \lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{1/2}}{6} &\left\{ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}t}{6n^{1/2}} + \frac{t^2 + 12(2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n}{24n} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{t^4 + 24(2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n t^2 + 48[3(2\lambda + 1)^2\Delta_n^2 - 1]}{1152n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-5/2}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\beta_{2n}(t; \lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{6}n^{1/2}}{6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{6}t}{12n^{1/2}} + \frac{t^2}{48n} - \frac{t^4 - 48}{4608n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-5/2}) \right\}, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2n+1}(t; \lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{3}(2n+1)^{1/2}}{6} &\left\{ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}t}{6(2n+1)^{1/2}} + \frac{t^2 + 12(2\lambda + 1)}{24(2n+1)} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{t^4 + 24(2\lambda + 1)t^2 + 96(6\lambda^2 + 6\lambda + 1)}{1152(2n+1)^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-5/2}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2b)$$

Proof. We begin by finding the first term in the asymptotic expansion. The recurrence coefficient β_n associated with (1.10) is positive and diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [10]) which suggests that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\beta_n \sim Bn^r, \quad (4.3)$$

with $r > 0$ and B a constant. Substituting the asymptotic form (4.3) into (4.1) we obtain

$$3B^2n^{2r} - \tfrac{1}{2}tBn^r \sim \tfrac{1}{4}[n + (2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n].$$

Since we require this equation to hold for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $r = \frac{1}{2}$, $B = \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$ and so the leading behaviour is given by

$$\beta_n \sim \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}n^{1/2}.$$

Next we suppose that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{1/2}}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{n^{k/2}}, \quad (4.4)$$

with $b_0 = 1$. Substituting this together with

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{n\pm 1} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}(n\pm 1)^{1/2}}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{(n\pm 1)^{k/2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{1/2}}{6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{b_1}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{2b_2 \pm 1}{2n} + \frac{b_3}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{8b_4 \mp 4b_2 - 1}{8n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-5/2}) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which are obtained by letting $n \rightarrow n \pm 1$ in (4.4) and doing an asymptotic expansion, into (4.1), doing an asymptotic expansion and equating powers of n gives

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}t &= 0, \\ b_2 + \frac{1}{2}b_1^2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}tb_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_n &= 0, \\ b_3 + b_1b_2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}tb_2 &= 0, \\ b_4 + b_1b_3 + \frac{1}{2}b_2^2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}tb_3 - \frac{1}{24} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

which have solution

$$b_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}t}{6}, \quad b_2 = \frac{t^2 + 12\Delta_n}{24}, \quad b_3 = 0, \quad b_4 = \frac{t^4 + 24\Delta_n t^2 + 48(3\Delta_n^2 - 3)}{1152},$$

Hence, letting $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]$, we obtain the asymptotic expansions (4.2), as required. \square

Corollary 4.2. Assume that $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ satisfies (4.1). Then, for $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

(i) the sequence $\left\{ \frac{\beta_n(t; \lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded;

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_n(t; \lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$.

Remarks 4.3.

1. Nevai [44, 45] and later Freud [17] proved that the recurrence coefficient associated with the special case of the symmetric weight (1.10) where $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $t = 0$ has the same limit as the one in Corollary 4.2 (ii). Corollary 4.2 (ii) therefore proves an extension of Freud's conjecture (1.4) for recurrence coefficients associated with the weight (1.3) to recurrence coefficients satisfying (4.1) associated with the weight (1.10) for $m = 4$.
2. Recently Joshi and Lustri [25] studied the asymptotic behaviour of the first discrete Painlevé equation in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using an asymptotic series expansion, they identified two types of solutions which are pole-free within some sector of the complex plane containing the positive real axis and used exponential asymptotic techniques to determine Stokes phenomena effects in these solutions.

In [11], Damelin considers asymptotics of recurrence coefficients associated with weights $|x|^\rho \exp\{-Q(x)\}$ where $Q(x)$ is an even polynomial of fixed degree.

Theorem 4.4. For $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the recurrence coefficients $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ in (1.13) satisfy

$$\frac{\beta_{n+1}(t; \lambda)}{\beta_n(t; \lambda)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\frac{\beta_n(t; \lambda)}{a_n^2(t)} = \frac{1}{4} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.6b)$$

where a_n is the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff number [42, 51] which is the unique positive solution of the equation

$$n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{a_n t Q'(a_n t)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt$$

for $Q(x) = x^4 - tx^2$.

Proof. A proof of (4.6a) and (4.6b) can be found in [11, Thm. 2.1]. \square

4.2 Asymptotics for the generalized Freud polynomials as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Linear second-order differential equations, which are at the heart of much of special function theory, can be used in various ways to obtain asymptotic approximations and inequalities. In this section we will consider asymptotic results for generalized Freud polynomials using the differential equation satisfied by generalized Freud polynomials obtained in [10].

Theorem 4.5. *Monic orthogonal polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ with respect to generalized Freud weight (1.10) satisfy the differential equation*

$$\frac{d^2 S_n}{dx^2}(x; t) + R_n(x; t) \frac{d S_n}{dx}(x; t) + T_n(x; t) S_n(x; t) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$R_n(x; t) = -4x^3 + 2tx + \frac{2\lambda + 1}{x} - \frac{2x}{x^2 - \frac{1}{2}t + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1}}, \quad (4.8a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x; t) = & 4nx^2 + 4\beta_n + 16\beta_n(\beta_n + \beta_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}t)(\beta_n + \beta_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}t) - \frac{8\beta_n x^2 + (2\lambda + 1)[1 - (-1)^n]}{x^2 - \frac{1}{2}t + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1}} \\ & + 4(2\lambda + 1)(-1)^n \beta_n + (2\lambda + 1)[1 - (-1)^n] \left(t - \frac{1}{2x^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8b)$$

Proof. See [10, Thm. 6]. □

Remark 4.6. The differential equation (4.7) for the special case where $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$ and t replaced by $2t$ is given in [2, eqn. (6)] though, in their notation, the statement on p. 104 needs to be corrected to read

$$S_n^t(x) = 4a_n^2 \left[4x^2 \left(a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2 - t - \frac{2}{x^2 - t + a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2} \right) + 4(a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2 - t)(a_{n-1}^2 + a_n^2 - t) + 1 \right]$$

The differential equation (4.7) can be transformed into normal form through the change of the dependent variable

$$Z_n(x; t) = S_n(x; t) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int^x R_n(s; t) ds \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then $Z_n(x; t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 Z_n}{dx^2}(x; t) + B_n(x; t) Z_n(x; t) = 0, \quad (4.10a)$$

where

$$B_n(x; t) = T_n(x; t) - \frac{1}{4} R_n^2(x; t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dR_n(x; t)}{dx}. \quad (4.10b)$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\{S_n(x; t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ denote monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight w_λ in (1.10) and let $Z_n(x; t)$ be given by (4.9), which satisfies (4.10) with*

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x; t) = & 4\beta_n[(t - 2\beta_n - 2\beta_{n+1})(t - 2\beta_n - 2\beta_{n-1}) + (2\lambda + 1)(-1)^n + 1] \\ & - t[1 + (2\lambda + 1)(-1)^n] + 4tx^4 - 4x^6 + x^2(4\lambda + 4n - t^2 + 8) - \frac{2(\lambda + 1)\lambda - (2\lambda + 1)(-1)^n + \frac{1}{2}}{2x^2} \\ & + \frac{1 - 2x^2(4\beta_n - t + 2x^2) + (2\lambda + 1)(-1)^n}{\beta_n + \beta_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}t + x^2} - \frac{3x^2}{(\beta_n + \beta_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}t + x^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since from Theorem 4.1 we have $\beta_n = \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3n} + \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(x; t) &= -4x^3 + 2tx + \frac{2\lambda + 1}{x} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}), \\ T_n(x; t) &= (\tfrac{4}{3}n)^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(n), \end{aligned}$$

and so we consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{S}_n}{dx^2} - \left(4x^3 - 2tx - \frac{2\lambda + 1}{x} \right) \frac{d \tilde{S}_n}{dx} + (\tfrac{4}{3}n)^{3/2} \tilde{S}_n = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Equation (4.12) is equivalent to the Biconfluent Heun equation, cf. [49, §31.12]

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} - \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \delta + z \right) \frac{du}{dz} + \left(\alpha - \frac{q}{z} \right) u = 0,$$

through the transformation

$$\tilde{S}_n(x; t, \lambda) = u(z; \alpha, \gamma, \delta, q), \quad z = \frac{1}{2}x^2,$$

with

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \gamma = -1 - \lambda, \quad \delta = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t, \quad q = -\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{6}n^{3/2}.$$

Note that if in equation (4.12) we make the transformation $\tilde{S}_n(x) = w(\zeta)$, with $\zeta = (\frac{4}{3}n)^{3/4}x$, then in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 w}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\zeta} \frac{dw}{d\zeta} + w = 0,$$

which has solution

$$w(\zeta) = \{c_1 J_\lambda(\zeta) + c_2 J_{-\lambda}(\zeta)\} \zeta^{-\lambda},$$

with $J_\lambda(\zeta)$ the Bessel function.

5 Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions

A natural question to ask is whether (1.13) has many real solutions satisfying the initial condition $\beta_0 = 0$. Several papers, including [31, 45, 54] provide an answer for the case where $t = 0$. In a recent paper by Alsulami *et al.* [1], existence and uniqueness of a positive solution are discussed for the nonlinear second-order difference equations of the type

$$\beta_n (\sigma_{n,1}\beta_{n+1} + \sigma_{n,0}\beta_n + \sigma_{n,-1}\beta_{n-1}) + \kappa_n \beta_n = \ell_n \quad (5.1)$$

with initial conditions $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_1 \geq 0$, $\kappa_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and mild conditions on the coefficients $\sigma_{n,j}$, $j = -1, 0, 1$. An excellent historical overview of the problem and its solution is given.

Theorem 5.1. *For $\lambda > -1$ and $\beta_0 = 0$, there exists a unique $\beta_1(t; \lambda) > 0$ such that $\{\beta_n(t; \lambda)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by the nonlinear difference equation*

$$\beta_n (\beta_{n+1} + \beta_n + \beta_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}t) = \frac{1}{4}[n + (2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n], \quad (5.2)$$

with $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]$, is a positive sequence and the solution arises when

$$\beta_1(t; \lambda) = \Phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \frac{D_{-\lambda}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)}{D_{-\lambda-1}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. The nonlinear discrete equation (5.2) is the special case of (5.1) with

$$\sigma_{n,1} = \sigma_{n,0} = \sigma_{n,-1} = 1, \quad \kappa_n = -\frac{1}{2}t, \quad \ell_n = \frac{1}{4}[n + (2\lambda + 1)\Delta_n],$$

with $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]$. The existence of $\beta_1(t; \lambda) > 0$ such that (5.2) is a positive sequence follows immediately from [1, Thm. 4.1]. The uniqueness of solutions of (5.1) is discussed in [1, Thm. 5.2], though the conditions in the theorem require that $t \leq 0$, $\lambda > -1$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ in our case. To show uniqueness for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consider nonlinear discrete equation (5.2) with general initial conditions $\beta_0 = 0$ and $\beta_1 = \hat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta)$, where

$$\hat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta) = \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left[\frac{\cos(\vartheta)D_{-\lambda}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t) - \sin(\vartheta)D_{-\lambda}(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)}{\cos(\vartheta)D_{-\lambda-1}(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t) + \sin(\vartheta)D_{-\lambda-1}(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t)} \right], \quad (5.4)$$

with $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ a parameter; if $\frac{1}{2}\pi < \vartheta < \pi$ then β_1 has a pole at a finite value of t . Since the parabolic cylinder function $D_\nu(z)$ has the following asymptotics as $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$, cf. [49, §12.9]

$$D_\nu(z) = \begin{cases} z^\nu \exp(-\frac{1}{4}z^2) \{1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})\}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} (-z)^{-\nu-1} \exp(\frac{1}{4}z^2) \{1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})\}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

then as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, $\widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta)$ has the asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; 0) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}), & \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\ -\frac{\lambda+1}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}), & \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases} \\ \widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2}t + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad \text{if } 0 < \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}\pi, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \frac{1}{2}\pi) &= \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda+1}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}), & \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2}t + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}), & \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\beta_1 = \widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\vartheta = 0$, which proves the desired results. This result is illustrated in Figure 5.1 where $\beta_1 = \widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta)$, is plotted for various values of ϑ . \square

Remarks 5.2.

1. The rationale for considering β_1 given by (5.4) is that $\Phi_\lambda(t)$ given by (2.2) satisfies the Riccati equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}t\Phi + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+1), \quad (5.7)$$

which has general solution $\widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta)$. Letting $\Phi(t) = \varphi'(t)/\varphi(t)$ in (5.7) gives

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{2}t\frac{d\varphi}{dt} - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+1)\varphi = 0,$$

which has general solution

$$\varphi(t) = \left\{ c_1 D_{-\lambda-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t\right) + c_2 D_{-\lambda-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t\right) \right\} \exp\left(\frac{1}{8}t^2\right),$$

with c_1 and c_2 arbitrary constants. Since only the ratio of c_1 and c_2 is relevant then we set $c_1 = \cos \vartheta$ and $c_2 = \sin \vartheta$.

2. The solution of the nonlinear discrete equation (5.2) with initial conditions $\beta_0 = 0$ and β_1 given by (5.3) appears to depend on the sign of t . In Figure 5.2 the points (n, β_n) are plotted for various choices of t . These show that $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ approaches a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in different ways depending on whether $t < 0$ or $t > 0$. If $t < 0$ then the behaviour is similar irrespective of the value of t and the plots suggest that $\{\beta_{2n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\beta_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are both monotonically increasing sequences. However if $t > 0$, the plots suggest that $\{\beta_{2n}\}$ and $\{\beta_{2n+1}\}$ are both monotonically increasing sequences for $n > n^*$, for some n^* dependent on t . The plots were generated in MAPLE using 250 digits accuracy.
3. The solution of the nonlinear discrete equation (5.2) is highly sensitive to the initial conditions. This is illustrated in Figure 5.3 where the points (n, β_n) are plotted for the initial conditions

$$b_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = \Phi_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon,$$

where $\Phi_\lambda(t)$ is given by (2.2), and $\varepsilon \in \{0, 10^{-4}, -10^{-4}\}$, for various choices of t . The plots clearly show that a small change in β_1 gives rise to very different behaviour for β_n . The plots were also generated in MAPLE using 250 digits accuracy.

6 Properties of the zeros of generalized Freud polynomials

In this section we begin by proving some properties for the zeros of semi-classical Laguerre polynomials (cf. [9]) and then extend this to obtain analogous results for the zeros of monic generalized Freud polynomials, which arise from a symmetrization of semi-classical Laguerre polynomials (cf. [10, 12]).

As shown in [9], the monic semi-classical Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$, orthogonal with respect to the weight

$$w(x; t) = x^\lambda \exp(-x^2 + tx), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (6.1)$$

satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$L_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x; t) = [x - \tilde{\alpha}_n(t)]L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t) - \tilde{\beta}_n(t)L_{n-1}^{(\lambda)}(x; t) \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}q_n(z) + \frac{1}{2}t, \quad (6.3a)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_n(t) = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{dq_n}{dz} - \frac{1}{8}q_n^2(z) - \frac{1}{4}zq_n(z) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}n, \quad (6.3b)$$

with $z = \frac{1}{2}t$. Here

$$q_n(z) = -2z + \frac{d}{dz} \ln \frac{\Psi_{n+1, \lambda}(z)}{\Psi_{n, \lambda}(z)}$$

satisfies P_{IV} (1.9) with parameters $(A, B) = (2n + \lambda + 1, -2\lambda^2)$ and

$$\Psi_{n, \lambda}(z) = \mathcal{W} \left(\psi_\lambda, \frac{d\psi_\lambda}{dz}, \dots, \frac{d^{n-1}\psi_\lambda}{dz^{n-1}} \right), \quad \Psi_{0, \lambda}(z) = 1,$$

where

$$\psi_\lambda(z) = \begin{cases} D_{-\lambda-1}(-\sqrt{2}z) \exp(\frac{1}{2}z^2), & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{m! 2^{(m+1)/2}} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \{ [1 + \operatorname{erf}(z)] \exp(z^2) \}, & \text{if } \lambda = m \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

with $\operatorname{erf}(z)$ the error function, since the parabolic cylinder function $D_{-m-1}(z)$ is expressed in terms of error functions for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. [49, §12.7(ii)].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$ denote the monic semi-classical Laguerre polynomials orthogonal with respect to*

$$w(x; t) = x^\lambda \exp(-x^2 + tx), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then, for $\lambda > -1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the zeros $x_{1,n} < x_{2,n} < \dots < x_{n,n}$ of $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$

(i) are real, distinct and

$$0 < x_{1,n} < x_{1,n-1} < x_{2,n} < \dots < x_{n-1,n} < x_{n-1,n-1} < x_{n,n}; \quad (6.4)$$

(ii) strictly increase with both t and λ ;

(iii) satisfy

$$a_n < x_{1,n} < \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1} < x_{n,n} < b_n,$$

where

$$a_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\alpha}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\tilde{\alpha}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})^2 + 4c_n \tilde{\beta}_k} \right\},$$

$$b_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\alpha}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\tilde{\alpha}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1})^2 + 4c_n \tilde{\beta}_k} \right\},$$

with $c_n = 4 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ and $\tilde{\beta}_n$ given by (6.3).

Proof. (i) The proofs for classical orthogonal polynomials, where $t = 0$ (see, for example, [53, Thm 3.3.1 and 3.3.2]), work without change.

(ii) Since for the semi-classical Laguerre weight (6.1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln w(x; t) = \ln x$$

is an increasing function of x , it follows from Markov's monotonicity theorem (cf. [53, Theorem 6.12.1] that the zeros of $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$ increase as λ increases. Similarly, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln w(x; t) = x,$$

increases with x , it follows that the zeros of $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$ increase as t increases.

- (iii) The inner bound $\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}$ for the extreme zeros follows from [13, Cor. 2.2] together with (6.2) and (6.4) since $\tilde{\beta}_{n-1}(t)$ does not depend on x . The outer bounds a_n and b_n for the extreme zeros $x_{1,n}$ and $x_{n,n}$ respectively, follow from the approach based on the Wall-Wetzel Theorem, introduced by Ismail and Li [23] (see also [22]) by applying their Theorems 2 and 3 to the three term recurrence relation (6.2). \square

Asymptotic properties of the extreme zeros of generalized Freud polynomials related to the weight (1.3) were studied by Freud [18] and Nevai [47]. Subsequently, Kasuga and Sakai [26] extended and generalized these results.

Next we prove some properties of zeros of generalized Freud polynomials associated with the weight (1.10). The weight (1.10) is even and it is well-known that, in this case, the zeros of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials are symmetric about the origin. This implies that the positive and the negative zeros have opposing monotonicity and, as a result of this symmetry, it suffices to study the monotonicity and bounds of the positive zeros.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $S_n(x; t)$ be the monic generalized Freud polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight*

$$w(x; t) = |x|^{2\lambda+1} \exp(-x^4 + tx^2), \quad (6.5)$$

and let $x_{n,1}(\lambda, t) < x_{n,2}(\lambda, t) < \dots < x_{n,[n/2]}(\lambda, t)$ denote the positive zeros of $S_n(x; t)$ where $[m]$ is the largest integer smaller than m . Then, for $\lambda > -1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

- (i) *the zeros of $S_n(x; t)$ are real and distinct and*

$$0 < x_{n,1}(\lambda, t) < x_{n-1,1}(\lambda, t) < x_{n,2}(\lambda, t) < \dots < x_{n-1,[n/2]}(\lambda, t) < x_{n,[n/2]}(\lambda, t);$$

- (ii) *the ν th zero $x_{n,\nu}(\lambda, t)$, for a fixed value of ν , is an increasing function of both λ and t ;*

- (iii) *the largest zero satisfies the inequality*

$$x_{n,[n/2]}(\lambda, t) < \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \sqrt{c_n \beta_k(t; \lambda)}, \quad (6.6)$$

where $c_n = 4 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\beta_n(t; \lambda)$ is given by (1.14).

Proof. (i) This follows from Theorem 6.1(i) using the relation (cf. [7, 10, 12])

$$S_{2n}(x; t) = L_n^{(\lambda)}(x^2; t), \quad S_{2n+1}(x; t) = x L_n^{(\lambda+1)}(x^2; t).$$

between the semi-classical Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\lambda)}(x; t)$ and the generalized Freud polynomials $S_n(x; t)$.

- (ii) The monotonicity of the positive zeros with respect to the parameters λ and t follows from [24, Theorem 2.1] since for the generalized Freud weight (6.5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln w(x; t) = 2 \ln x, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln w(x; t) = x^2,$$

both increase with x .

- (iii) The inequality (6.6) for the largest zero $x_{n,[n/2]}(\lambda, t)$ follows by applying [23, Theorem 2 and 3] to the three term recurrence relation (1.12). \square

7 Conclusion

In this paper we have analysed the asymptotic behavior of generalized Freud polynomials, orthogonal with respect to the generalized Freud weight (1.10), in two different contexts. Firstly, we obtained asymptotic results for the polynomials when the parameter t involved in the semiclassical perturbation of the weight tends to $\pm\infty$. Next, we considered the strong asymptotics of the coefficients β_n in the three-term recurrence relation (1.12) satisfied by the generalized Freud polynomials $S_n(x; t)$ as the degree n tends to infinity and investigated the asymptotic behavior of the polynomials themselves as the degree increases. We showed that unique, positive solutions of the nonlinear difference equation (1.13) satisfied by the recurrence coefficients exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ but that these solutions are very sensitive to the initial conditions. We also proved various properties of the zeros of generalized Freud polynomials. The closed form expressions for the recurrence coefficients obtained in [10] allowed the investigation of the properties of generalized Freud polynomials in this paper. A natural extension of this work would be an investigation of asymptotic properties using limiting relations satisfied by the polynomials as the parameter λ tends to ∞ .

Acknowledgments

We thank the African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Muizenberg, South Africa, for their hospitality during our visit when some of this research was done, supported by their “Research in Pairs” programme. PAC thanks Alfredo Deaño, Ana Loureiro and Walter Van Assche for their helpful comments and illuminating discussions and also the Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, for their hospitality during his visit where some of this paper was written. KJ thanks Abey Kelil for pointing out several useful references and insightful discussions. The research by KJ was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa under grant number 103872.

References

- [1] S.M. Alsulami, P. Nevai, J. Szabados, and W. Van Assche, A family of nonlinear difference equations: existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions, *J. Approx. Theory*, **193** (2015) 39–55.
- [2] A. Arceo, E.J. Huertas, and F. Marcellán, On polynomials associated with an Uvarov modification of a quartic potential Freud-like weight, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **281** (2016) 102–120.
- [3] A.P. Bassom, P.A. Clarkson, and A.C. Hicks, Bäcklund transformations and solution hierarchies for the fourth Painlevé equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **95** (1995) 1–71.
- [4] W.C. Bauldry, A. Máté, and P. Nevai, Asymptotics for solutions of systems of smooth recurrence equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **133** (1988) 209–227.
- [5] L. Boelen and W. Van Assche, Discrete Painlevé equations for recurrence relations of semiclassical Laguerre polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138** (2011) 1317–1331.
- [6] S. Bonan and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and their derivatives. I, *J. Approx. Theory*, **40** (1984) 134–147.
- [7] T.S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978. [Reprinted by Dover Publications, 2011.]
- [8] A.S. Clarke and B. Shizgal, On the generation of orthogonal polynomials using asymptotic methods for recurrence coefficients, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **104** (1993) 140–149.
- [9] P. A. Clarkson and K. Jordaan, The relationship between semi-classical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation, *Constr. Approx.*, **39** (2014) 223–254.
- [10] P. A. Clarkson, K. Jordaan, and A. Kelil, A generalized Freud weight, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **136** (2016) 288–320.
- [11] S.B. Damelin, Asymptotics of recurrence coefficients for orthonormal polynomials on the line – Magnus’s method revisited, *Math. Comp.*, **73** (2004) 191–209.
- [12] G. Filipuk, W. Van Assche, and L. Zhang, The recurrence coefficients of semi-classical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation, *J. Phys. A*, **45** (2012) 205201.
- [13] K. Driver and K. Jordaan, Bounds for extreme zeros of some classical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory*, **164** (2012) 1200–1204.
- [14] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and A.V. Kitaev, Discrete Painlevé equations and their appearance in quantum-gravity, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **142** (1991) 313–344.
- [15] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and X. Zhou, Continuous and discrete Painlevé equations, in: *Painlevé Transcendents. Their Asymptotics and Physical Applications*, D. Levi and P. Winternitz (Eds.), *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys.*, vol. **278**, Plenum, New York, 1992, pp. 33–47.
- [16] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Akadémiai Kiadó/Pergamon Press, Budapest/Oxford, 1971.
- [17] G. Freud, On the coefficients in the recursion formulae of orthogonal polynomials, *Proc. R. Irish Acad., Sect. A*, **76** (1976) 1–6.
- [18] G. Freud, On the greatest zero of an orthogonal polynomial, *J. Approx. Theory*, **46** (1986) 16–24.
- [19] B. Grammaticos and A. Ramani, From continuous Painlevé IV to the asymmetric discrete Painlevé I, *J. Phys. A*, **31** (1998) 5787–5798.
- [20] E. Hendriksen and H. van Rossum, Semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, in: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications*, C. Brezinski, A. Draux, A.P. Magnus, P. Maroni, and A. Ronveaux (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. **1171**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 354–361.
- [21] M.E.H. Ismail, An electrostatic model for zeros of general orthogonal polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.*, **193** (2000) 355–369.
- [22] M.E.H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, vol. **98**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [23] M.E.H. Ismail and X. Li, Bounds for extreme zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **115** (1992) 131–140.
- [24] K. Jordaan, H. Wang and J. Zhou, Monotonicity of zeros of polynomials orthogonal with respect to an even weight function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **25** (2014) 721–729.

- [25] N. Joshi and C.J. Lustri, Stokes phenomena in discrete Painlevé I, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **471** (2015) 20140874.
- [26] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Orthonormal polynomials with generalized Freud-type weights, *J. Approx. Theory*, **121** (2003) 13–53.
- [27] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Conditions for uniform or mean convergence of higher order Hermite-Fejer interpolation polynomials with generalized Freud-type weights, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, **19** (2005) 145–199.
- [28] T. Kriecherbauer and K. T.-R. McLaughlin, Strong asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a Freud weight, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **1999** (1999) 299–333.
- [29] E. Levin and D.S. Lubinsky, *Orthogonal Polynomials with Exponential Weights*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [30] E. Levin and D.S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal polynomials for weights $x^{2\gamma} \exp(-2Q(x))$ on $[0, d]$, *J. Approx. Theory*, **134** (2005) 199–256.
- [31] J.S. Lew and D.A. Quarles, Nonnegative solutions of a nonlinear recurrence, *J. Approx. Theory*, **38** (1983) 357–379.
- [32] D.S. Lubinsky, A survey of general orthogonal polynomials for weights on finite and infinite intervals, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **10** (1987) 237–296.
- [33] D.S. Lubinsky, An update on orthogonal polynomials and weighted approximation on the real line, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **33** (1993) 121–164.
- [34] D.S. Lubinsky, Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials: Some old, some new, some identities, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **61** (2000) 207–256.
- [35] D. Lubinsky, H. Mhaskar, and E. Saff, A proof of Freud’s conjecture for exponential weights, *Constr. Approx.*, **4** (1988) 65–83.
- [36] A.P. Magnus, A proof of Freud’s conjecture about the orthogonal polynomials related to $|x|^p \exp(-x^{2m})$, for integer m , in: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications*, C. Brezinski, A. Draux, A.P. Magnus, P. Maroni, and A. Ronveaux (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. **1171**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 362–372.
- [37] A.P. Magnus, On Freud’s equations for exponential weights, *J. Approx. Theory*, **46** (1986) 65–99.
- [38] A.P. Magnus, Painlevé-type differential equations for the recurrence coefficients of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **57** (1995) 215–237.
- [39] P. Maroni, Prolégomènes à l’étude des polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, **149** (1987) 165–184.
- [40] A. Máté, P. Nevai, and T. Zaslavsky, Asymptotic expansions of ratios of coefficients of orthogonal polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **287** (1985) 495–505.
- [41] H.N. Mhaskar, *Introduction to the Theory of Weighted Polynomial Approximation*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [42] H.N. Mhaskar and E.B. Saff, Extremal problems for polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **285** (1984) 204–234.
- [43] Y. Murata, Rational solutions of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **28** (1985) 1–32.
- [44] P. Nevai, Polynomials orthogonal on the real line with respect to $|x|^\alpha \exp(-|x|^\beta)$, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **24** (1973) 495–505.
- [45] P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials associated with $\exp(-x^4)$, in: *Second Edmonton Conference on Approximation Theory*, Z. Ditzian, A. Meir, S.D. Riemenschneider, and A. Sharma (Eds.), *CMS Conf. Proc.*, vol. **3**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 263–285.
- [46] P. Nevai, Two of my favorite ways of obtain obtaining asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials, in: *Linear Functional Analysis and Approximation*, P.L. Butzer, R.L. Stens, and B. Sz-Nagy (Eds.), *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.* vol. **65**, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1984, pp. 417–436.
- [47] P. Nevai, Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions. A case study, *J. Approx. Theory*, **48** (1986) 3–167.
- [48] S. Noschese and L. Pasquini, On the nonnegative solution of a Freud three-term recurrence, *J. Approx. Theory*, **99** (1999) 54–67.
- [49] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, and C.W. Clark (Eds.), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [50] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV} , *Math. Ann.*, **275** (1986) 221–255.
- [51] E.A. Rakhmanov, On asymptotic properties of polynomials orthogonal on the real axis, *Math. Sb. (N.S.)*, **119** (1982) 163–203.
- [52] J. Shohat, A differential equation for orthogonal polynomials, *Duke Math. J.*, **5** (1939) 401–417.
- [53] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. **23**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1975.
- [54] W. Van Assche, Discrete Painleve equations for recurrence coefficients of orthogonal polynomials, in: *Difference Equations, Special Functions and Orthogonal Polynomials*, S. Elaydi, J. Cushing, R. Lasser, V. Papageorgiou, A. Ruffing, and W. Van Assche (Eds.), World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 687–725.
- [55] R. Wong and Y. Zhao, Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials via Riemann-Hilbert approach, *Acta Math. Sci. (English Ed.)*, **29** (2009) 1005–1034.
- [56] R. Wong and L. Zhang, Global asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials associated with $|x|^{2\alpha} e^{-Q(x)}$, *J. Approx. Theory*, **162** (2010) 723–765.




Figure 2.2: Plots of the polynomials $S_n(x; t)$, $n = 3, 4, \dots, 8$ for $t = 0$ (black), $t = 1$ (red), $t = 2$ (blue), $t = 3$ (green) and $t = 4$ (purple), with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.




Figure 2.3: Plots of the polynomials $S_3(x; t)$ (black), $S_4(x; t)$ (red), $S_5(x; t)$ (blue), $S_6(x; t)$ (green) for $t = 0, 1, \dots, 5$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.




Figure 3.1: Plots of polynomials $Q_{2n-1}(y; t)$ and $Q_{2n}(y; t)$, for $n = 1$ (black), $n = 2$ (red), $n = 3$ (blue), $n = 4$ (green) and $n = 5$ (purple), when $t = 20$, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.




Figure 3.2: Plots of the polynomials $Q_n(y; 5, \frac{1}{2})$ (blue), $Q_n(y; 10, \frac{1}{2})$ (red) and $\tilde{Q}_n(y)$ (black) for $n = 4, 5, \dots, 9$.




Figure 5.1: Plots of $\beta_1 = \widehat{\Phi}_\lambda(t; \vartheta)$ as given by (5.4), with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, for $\vartheta = 0$ (black), $\vartheta = \frac{1}{12}\pi$ (red), $\vartheta = \frac{1}{4}\pi$ (blue), $\vartheta = \frac{5}{12}\pi$ (green) and $\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}\pi$ (purple).




Figure 5.2: Plots of the points (n, β_n) where β_n satisfies (5.2) with initial conditions $\beta_0 = 0$ and β_1 given by (5.3), for various choices of t , with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.




Figure 5.3: Plots of the points (n, β_n) where β_n satisfies (5.2) with initial conditions $\beta_0 = 0$ and $\beta_1 = \Phi_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon$, with $\Phi_\lambda(t)$ given by (2.2), and $\varepsilon = 0, \pm 10^{-4}$, for $t = 0, \pm 5$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.